



REMARKS

This amendment responds to the restriction requirement mailed August 16, 2005. In the office action the Examiner has required election of one of group I (claims 16-31 and 37-44), group II (claims 32-36 and 45-54), group III (claims 55-57) and group IV (claims 58-61) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.142.

Restriction Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.142

Applicants hereby elect with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I (claims 16-31 and 37-44).

Applicant traverses the restriction requirement only with respect to the restriction of groups I and II. Applicants note that independent claims 32 and 45 (in group II) include the limitations of independent claim 16 (in group I). As such, in order to make, use, or sell devices covered by the claims in group II, the claims of group I would also apply.

Examiner's argument that groups I and II are distinct from one another since they are separately usable is incorrect.

Applicant therefore requests that the group II claims be included in group I.

In light of these arguments, the Applicants request that the Examiner withdraw the restriction requirement for groups I and II.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider this application. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (650) 843-7501, if a telephone call could help resolve any remaining items.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 9, 2005

Gary S. Williams

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

2 Palo Alto Square, Suite 700

3000 El Camino Real

Palo Alto, California 94306

(650) 843-4000

31,066

(Reg. No.)